

m/027/007
9/22/99

Received: from utgate.ut.blm.gov
by email.state.ut.us; Wed, 22 Sep 1999 09:36:25 -0600
Received: by utgate.ut.blm.gov; id JAA06220; Wed, 22 Sep 1999 09:36:25 -0600 (MDT)
Received: from ut0121.utso.ut.blm.gov(158.68.192.121) by utgate.ut.blm.gov via smap (4.1)
id xma006188; Wed, 22 Sep 99 09:35:53 -0600
Received: by ut0121.utso.ut.blm.gov(Lotus SMTP MTA v4.6.4 (830.2 3-23-1999)) id
872567F4.00565FBF ; Wed, 22 Sep 1999 09:43:26 -0600
X-Lotus-FromDomain: BLM
From: Rex_Rowley@ut.blm.gov
To: "Wayne Hedberg" <NROGM.WHEDBERG@state.ut.us>
Message-ID: <872567F4.00565F3C.00@ut0121.utso.ut.blm.gov>
Date: Wed, 22 Sep 1999 09:39:28 -0600
Subject: Re: Fwd: Comments of BLM's Finding of No Significant Impact & EA
for Drum Mine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

Wayne, first of all let me appologize for not getting a copy of the environmental assessment to you and your staff to review before it was signed. Ron was only in the office for a few days and I wanted the EA signed before he left for his three week training session. I see no reason why we cannot make some modifications and sign a new FONSI, but it probably won't be until after Ron returns the week of October 4.

As for Doug's comments, I thought it was UDOGM that was recommending that the process ponds be covered with clay. Doug mentioned in our first meeting that much of rubber lining had been removed from both ponds and that (1) there was not enough liner left to fold over and seal the ponds, and (2) it would be nearly impossible to do even if there was enough. Both Sheri and I thought Doug suggested that we cover them with clay instead. Anyway, we see no need to seal the process ponds with bentonite.

As for waste oil or petroleum products, they cannot be disposed of on the project area, but hydrocarbon contaminated soil can be placed in piles or windrows on the area where there is access for a grader to get to and turn the soil as needed.

Regarding placement of the growth medium, we did not intend for it to applied on the contour, just the ripping and seeding would be done on the contour.

As far as "dimpling or dimpled", this was the verbage used in Western State's reclamation plan.

It is my understanding that Western States would be relieved of any liability, including noxious weed control, once they complete the reclamation work.